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Executive summary

Purpose of the APRU Impact Report: This report provides for the first time the evidence base for the contribution of APRU’s 45 member universities to their societies and to the key opportunities and challenges of the Asia-Pacific region.

Its purpose is to spotlight the competencies of the Asia-Pacific’s leading institutions in education, research and innovation which have a direct relationship to these challenges. It also aims to demonstrate the value of international cooperation between universities and with international organisations, governments, NGOs, the private sector and local communities and thereby to identify the areas where future cooperation will have maximum impact.

Findings

Drawing on the in-depth analysis that is now possible from mining huge research databases and from case studies, this report has found that APRU universities:

- exceed global and Asia-Pacific regional averages for performance in knowledge creation and research
- resource leadership in government, business and industry at high-levels with their graduates
- exercise extensive social influence across their societies through their alumni
- project impact nationally and internationally through their roles as educators, connectors, societal problem-solvers, innovators, agents of change, and knowledge creators
- bring the strength of diversity to solving global challenges
- boost the quality of research output through international collaborations
- act as international connectors and as a knowledge resource on a range of international challenges from disaster science to population ageing, from global health and the future of the digital economy to sustainability and climate change.

Highlights

Impact extends internationally

The impact of APRU institutions extends beyond the boundaries of the universities themselves to their societies and the Asia-Pacific region. The figure below captures the wide range of roles which produce this impact. More detail is provided in the report by means of quantitative analysis and qualitative case studies in Chapter 2. (Sections of this report are colour-keyed in the header according to the impact area matching the colours on the right.)
Knowledge Leadership

APRU institutions are thought leaders in their respective localities, punching above their weight in terms of research and creation of new knowledge across all metrics compared to world and Asia-Pacific regional averages (as demonstrated in Section 2.2, see page 16).

As educators, APRU institutions have produced successful graduates, including political leaders, Nobel Prize winners and business entrepreneurs (see Section 2.2).

These institutions continue to innovate through educational models which equip work-ready graduates for the future. Flexibility and success can be demonstrated through employer satisfaction and graduate employability (see Study 1).

International Education

Education has become increasingly internationalised through international student flows and through the expansion of online education. APRU institutions show leadership in both areas: acting as physical educational hubs in the Asia-Pacific (page 25) and offering over 650 virtual programs (see page 11).

Societal Impact

APRU initiatives extend collaboration beyond the research realm, including sharing and applying knowledge to societal challenges as presented in various case studies throughout this report. APRU Research Programs in multi-hazards, population ageing, global health, the digital economy, sustainability and climate change have begun to demonstrate benefits for the region. Examples include sharing best practices for education of medical professionals (p 12), offering a forum for debate with industry on internet governance (p 27) or gathering data and policies on public health issues such as air pollution and water management (p 19).

Further examples of impact through current APRU activities can be found in case studies presented in the report, as well as in Chapter 3 focusing on multi-hazards and disaster management.

Connecting the Asia-Pacific region

Overall APRU researchers are highly collaborative, exceeding international and national collaboration rates compared to the world and the APEC regional average, and performing well compared with peer organisations (see Section 2.5 and chart repeated here).
Strength in diversity

APRU universities respond to a diversity of needs and environments, developing particular strengths and specialisations. Not all institutions focus equally on each of the areas listed above and, within a particular impact area or role, may choose different specialisations.

As a result, the network contains institutions that are both synergistic and complementary in terms of areas of expertise, thereby enabling collaboration of world-class experts within and across research specialisations, and providing a well-rounded overall research base (details can be found in Chapter 4).

Collaboration enhances research quality

Collaboration within the network enhances research performance. Chapter 5 presents evidence that collaboration within APRU boosts citation rates of research, a commonly used proxy for measuring quality.

As a group in each discipline, APRU research has a quality index well above the world average, higher output volume is seen in disciplines related to Asia-Pacific regional challenges (such as earth and planetary sciences) and some areas of regional industry need (such as materials science).
Report Structure

This report looks at the roles and contributions of APRU and its constituent institutions across the Asia-Pacific region. The emphasis is on recognising Asia-Pacific regional and local needs and identifying where universities have truly made and continue to make a societal impact.

CHAPTER 1
Provides context across the Asia-Pacific and for the economies in which institutions are situated. This provides background to understand constraints, challenges and opportunities that individual institutions are facing. It also offers an overview of diversity in the region.

CHAPTER 2
Examines the different roles served by APRU and its institutions, considering their impact in each of these roles in turn through the use of case study examples.

CHAPTER 3
Focuses on how the work of universities translates to societal benefits in the context of disaster management.

CHAPTER 4
Considers specialisation across the institutions and areas of disciplinary strength for APRU, and how the different institutions within the network complement each other.

CHAPTER 5
Demonstrates how the network is stronger when it acts together by looking at collaboration and synergies between institutions.
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